

The Director of Central Intelligence
Washington, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence Council

NIC #06261-84
2 November 1984

NIC 06138-8411

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

VIA: Herbert E. Meyer
Vice Chairman
National Intelligence Council

FROM: [REDACTED]
Assistant National Intelligence Officer for
Narcotics

SUBJECT: SNIE 1/8-84: The Role of Insurgents, Terrorists
and Sovereign States in Drug Trafficking:
Implications for the United States

25X1

1. Attached for your review are the Concept Paper and Terms of Reference for the captioned SNIE. It was originally requested by the Acting Deputy Director for Foreign Intelligence, DIA. As you know, it has also been endorsed by General Williams. The SNIE appears on the NIC production schedule with a completion date in December 1984. The drafters are Messrs. [REDACTED] of OGI.

25X1
25X1

2. In response to comments from the SRP, we have adjusted the lead-in to the Outlook section to reflect the specific time frames we intend to cover and to clarify that the points that follow are premises, not pre-conclusions. In addition, we have made implications for the United States the concluding section of the body of the SNIE and the Case Studies section an Annex.

3. With your concurrence, we will forward the TOR and Concept Paper to NFIB principals. The coordination meeting with NFIB representatives is scheduled for 19 November 1984.

25X1

Attachment:
As stated

SECRET

25X1

25X1



Page Denied

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Denied

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

Senior Review Panel

NIC-06138-84/1
31 October 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
Chairman, National Intelligence Council
NIO for Narcotics

SUBJECT: Senior Review Panel Comments on Draft
Concept Paper and Terms of Reference
(TOR) for SNIE 1/8-84: The Role of
Insurgents, Terrorists and Sovereign
States in Drug Trafficking: Implications
for the US, dated 26 October 1984

1. The Panel believes that the subject drafts constitute a well considered, well organized framework for the intended study. They seem to strike a particularly nice balance among their constituent analytical, estimative, and case study sections.

2. We have only these comments:

a. Many of the TOR have an appropriate forward-looking, estimative thrust. It would be helpful to eventual consumers if these--and the "Outlook" section--were situated in at least a generalized time frame: one, three, five years, whatever the drafters have in mind.

b. We assume that the propositions in TOR-V "Outlook" are not pre-conclusions but premises for examination. Would the statements at V-C: "The long-term goals of terrorist organizations . . . are not compatible with sustained drug trafficking" and at V-E "The activities of non-traditional traffickers will expand . . . " be equally true?

SECRET

25X1

c. As a matter of both substance and estimative style, we think that TOR-IV "Implications for the United States" should be the SNIE's final section.

25X1

cc: VC/NIC

SRP/DCI:tb

Distribution:

1 - Ea Addressee

1 - VC/NIC

1 - D/AG

1 - ER

1 - SRP File

1 - SRP Chrono

1 - SRP

1 - SRP

1 - SRP

1 - SRP

25X1

25X1